1	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTO	N LLP
	A Limited Liability Partnership	
2	Including Professional Corporations GARY L. HALLING, Cal. Bar No. 66087	
3	JAMES L. McGINNIS, Cal. Bar No. 95788 MICHAEL W. SCARBOROUGH, Cal. Bar No.	203524
4	MONA SOLOUKI, Cal. Bar No. 215145	203321
5	Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4109	
6	Telephone: 415-434-9100 Facsimile: 415-434-3947	
7	E-mail: ghalling@sheppardmullin.com jmcginnis@sheppardmullin.com	
8	mscarborough@sheppardmullin.com msolouki@sheppardmullin.com	<u>om</u>
9		
10	Attorneys for Defendants SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC. and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS COMPANY, LTD	
11	DELICITION COMMENT, LID	',
12		
13		
14	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
15	NORTHERN DISTRI	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	OAKLANI	DIVISION
17	IN RE STATIC RANDOM ACCESS	Case No. 4:07-md-1819 CW
18	MEMORY (SRAM) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MDL No. 1819
19		AMENDED STIPULATION AND
20	This Document Relates to:	ORDER REGARDING PRETRIAL SUBMISSION OF TRIAL EXHIBITS.
21	ALL ACTIONS	PRETRIAL CONFERENCE STATEMENT AND PRETRIAL
22		CONFERENCE
23		
24		Date: TBD Time: TBD Judge: Hon. Claudia Wilken
25		Courtroom: 2
26		
27		
$_{28}$		

WHEREAS, pursuant to paragraph 3 of the Stipulation And Order Regarding Pretrial Submission Of Trial Exhibits, Pretrial Conference Statement And Pretrial Conference, signed by the Court on November 24, 2010 [Dkt. # 1159], fourteen days prior to a further pretrial conference set for 2:00 p.m. on January 18, 2011, or such other date and time as the Court may set, the parties are required to submit, among other things, the following items required by the Court's Standing Order for Pretrial Preparation Order (the "Order"): Exhibit List and Objections and premarked exhibits (Order ¶3(b)); and designations of depositions and responses to discovery responses, and objections thereto (Order ¶3(d)).

WHEREAS, the parties have been diligently working to reduce their lists of trial exhibits, which has resulted in the elimination of several hundred exhibits, and are continuing their attempts to reduce the overall lists to be submitted to the Court, along with their objections;

WHEREAS, in connection with the exchange of designations of depositions and responses to discovery responses, and objections thereto, the Order did not contemplate the exchange of counter designations and objections thereto, and the parties are presently coordinating the logistics concerning color-coding their respective designations and objections, as well as their counter designations and objections thereto, in order to present complete color-coded transcripts to the Court – per the Court's request at the December 14, 2010 Pretrial Conference;

NOW THEREFORE, it is hereby stipulated by the undersigned counsel on behalf of the parties identified below, and subject to the Court's approval, that paragraph 3 of the Stipulation And Order Regarding Pretrial Submission Of Trial Exhibits, Pretrial Conference Statement And Pretrial Conference, signed by the Court on November 24, 2010, shall be modified as follows:

1. Seven days prior to the pretrial conference set for 2:00 p.m. on January 18, 2011 (i.e., on January 11, 2011), the parties shall submit the following items required by the Order:

1	Exhibit List and Objections and premarked exhibits (Order ¶3(b)); designations of depositions and	
2	responses to discovery responses, and objections thereto (Order ¶3(d)).	
3	2. Except as provided for in paragraph 1, above, all other provisions of the Stipulation	
4	And Order Regarding Pretrial Submission Of Trial Exhibits, Pretrial Conference Statement And	
5	Pretrial Conference, signed by the Court on November 24, 2010 shall remain intact, and there will	
6 7	be a further Pretrial Conference on January 18, 2011 at 2:00 p.m.	
8	Dated: Respectfully submitted,	
9		
10	SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP	
11		
12	By: <u>/s/ Michael W. Scarborough</u> MICHAEL W. SCARBOROUGH	
13	Attorneys for Samsung Electronics America, Samsung Semiconductor, Inc.	
14		
15	COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY	
16 17	By: <u>/s/ Steven N. Williams</u> STEVEN N. WILLIAMS	
18	Attorneys for Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs	
19		
20	ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL & MASON LLP	
21		
22	By <u>: /s/ Christopher Micheletti</u> CHRISTOPHER MICHELETTI	
23	Attorneys for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs	
24		
25	MAYER BROWN LLP	
26	By: /s/ Lee Rubin	
27	LEE RUBIN Attorneys for Cypress Semiconductor, Inc.	
28	Attorneys for Cypress Semiconductor, Inc.	

Case 4:07-md-01819-CW Document 1210 Filed 12/23/10 Page 4 of 5

I, Michael W. Scarborough, hereby attest, pursuant to N.D. Cal. General Order No. 45, that the concurrence to the filing of this document has been obtained from each signatory hereto. <u>/s/ Michael W. Scarborough</u> MICHAEL W. SCARBOROUGH

IT IS SO ORDERED Dated: December 23, 2010 Claudia Wilken United States District Judge Northern District of California.